UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,787	06/19/2006	Norbert Klingelhage	06-393	8540
	7590 04/08/201 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL S SUITE 1201		FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,787	KLINGELHAGE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	William F. Fletcher in	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed to the compliance of the compliance of the complex states and the complex states are the complex states and the complex states are the complex sta	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $4$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of</li></ul>			ne issues for				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· , , ,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an e	xpianation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).							
13. A Other: The Primary Examiner suggests incorporating one or more of the limitations of proposed claims 22-24 into the independent claim to better distinguish the claims as a whole over the art of record.							
	/William Phillip Fletcher	111/					
	Primary Examiner, Art U						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Primary Examiner has not heretofore considered the limitations of proposed new claims 22-24 and, if entered, these claims would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claim 1 requires "providing a bonding agent between the product or layer and the nonwoven during the production of the composite, comprising either: (i) mixing the bonding agent with the PU to form a PU-bonding agent blend or (ii) applying the bonding agent to the nonwoven in the molten state. As stated previously in the record, while the claim is open to the bonding agent's being different from the PU product, it does not have to be. In other words, in embodiment (i) above, the PU reads on both the PU and the bonding agent and the PU composition reads on the claimed PU-bonding agent blend. In embodiment (ii) above, the PU is applied in a molten state, thereby reading on this limitation as well. Since the PU (16) serves to bond PP substrates (14) and (15) together, it reads on the claimed bonding agent. The region of thickness of the PU layer (16) closest the PP substrates (14) and (15) thus reads on the claimed bonding agent between the PU product and the PP nonwoven. Further EP '779 teaches that the PU is extruded in a molten state.